

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/828,897 04/20/2004		Michael Paris	A04P3006-US1	A04P3006-US1 6172		
24473	7590	09/29/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
STEVEN N	MITCH	IELL	REIDEL, JESSICA L			
PACESETT	ER INC					
701 EAST E	VELYN A	AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUNNYVALE, CA 94086				3762		

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/828,897	PARIS, MICHAEL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jessica L. Reidel	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESTRESSION OF THE MAILING	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 A 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under 	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
·	Lx parte Quayle, 1905 C.D. 11, 40	75 O.G. 215.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-12 is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5,13 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 April 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a) accepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/20/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Claims 1-5 and 13-14 in the reply filed on September 15, 2005 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 3 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ternes (U.S. 2005/0065443). As to Claims 1 and 3, Ternes discloses an implantable medical device 102 that monitors a changing heart rate of a patient and produces heart rate measurements (see Ternes Fig. 7, page 4, paragraph 34 and page 5, paragraphs 42-43), identifies an onset of an exercise episode when at least one of the following two conditions occur: at least one heart rate measurement exceeds a first heart rate measurement threshold R1 and/or at least one sensor output, read as an activity level, exceeds a first activity level threshold S1, identifies an end of an exercise episode when at least one of the following two conditions occur: at least one heart rate measurement falls below a second heart rate measurement threshold R2 and/or at least one sensor output, read as an activity level, falls below a second activity level threshold S2 (see

Application/Control Number: 10/828,897 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

Ternes page 3, paragraphs 27-28), and uses the exercise episode and end of the exercise episode

to determine a measure of heart rate recovery (see Ternes Fig. 10, page 3, paragraph 30 and page

6, paragraph 50). It is inherent that the onset of an exercise episode and the end of an exercise

episode comprise identifying a first heart rate and a second heart rate since heart rate versus time

is plotted during the entire episode via display 226 (see Ternes Fig. 7 and page 5, paragraph 43).

4. As to Claim 14, Ternes discloses a technique of displaying heart rate recovery by

drawing a line 1000 extending from the beginning 1002 of the recovery period to the end 1004 of

the recovery period and the slope of that line illustrates the average time rate of change of the

heart rate during the recovery period. Ternes also discloses that the user may move cursers 1002

and 1004 and calculate heart rate recovery 1102, 1104 and 1106 at any time interval. It is

inherent that the second heart rate is subtracted from the first heart rate to determine a measure of

heart rate recovery when 1102, 1104 and 1106 are determined from the slope of a line starting

from the onset of an exercise episode and the end of an exercise episode (see Ternes page 6,

paragraphs 50-51).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ternes in

view of Imran et al. (U.S. 4,393,877) (herein Imran). Ternes discloses the claimed invention as

Art Unit: 3762

discussed above except that identifying a first heart rate does not further comprise identifying the first heart rate when at least one heart rate measurement exceeds the first heart rate measurement threshold R1 for a predetermined period of time.

Imran, however, discloses an improved heart rate detector apparatus 2 for use with an implantable medical device that includes a heart rate comparator that compares a detected heart rate with a predetermined rate, read as a predetermined threshold, and, if the heart rate exceeds the predetermined rate for a predetermined time period, provides an output (see Imran Abstract and column 4, lines 43-57). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ternes in view of Imran to provide an improved method and apparatus of heart rate detection in order to better the invention.

7. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ternes in view of Thong (U.S. 2003/0187479). Ternes discloses the claimed invention as discussed above except that the method does not further comprise a step of filtering heart rate measurements to remove premature heartbeats.

Thong, however, discloses an implantable medical device 4 for detecting a prolonged pattern of increasing heart beat rate which first measures R-R intervals and then filters out premature beats via filter 241 (see Thong page 5, paragraph 60 and page 6, paragraph 61). Throng does not explicitly state why the filter for premature beats 241 is used, but it appears that the filter is used to increase the accuracy of the long-term heart rate determination from the sensed R-R intervals. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Ternes as taught by Thong, with a filter for

Application/Control Number: 10/828,897 Page 5

Art Unit: 3762

premature beats, since such a modification would provide the method with increased accuracy in

continuous heart rate determination.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Snell (U.S. 6,904,313) discloses methods and systems for monitoring heart rate

recovery where an implantable monitoring device monitors a patient's heart activity and looks for

periods of increased activity, such as those that are experienced during some level of exercise,

and periods of lesser activity (such as rest) directly following. The patient's heart rate recovery is

monitored as the heart recovers from the active period to the rest period. Starobin et al. (U.S.

2003/0149370) discloses a method that comprises the steps of: (a) collecting a first RR-interval

data set from said subject during a stage of gradually increasing heart rate up to a predetermined

heart rate of at least 130 beats per minute; (b) collecting a second RR-interval data set from said

subject during a stage of gradually decreasing heart rate; (c) comparing said first RR-interval

data set to said second RR-interval data set to determine the difference between said data sets;

and (d) generating from said comparison of step (c) a measure of cardiac ischemia during

stimulation.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jessica L. Reidel whose telephone number is (571) 272-2129.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7-4:30 and every other Friday 7-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert E. Pezzuto

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3762

Jessica L. Reidel